

Based on Chapter 4 pages 34-41

1. What is the purpose of this chapter?

Based on Chapter 5

1. What do we learn about Henrietta in this chapter?

Based on Chapter 6

1. What are your impressions of Heynieúúáă faYilèK
2. D2 úheè dzydeNăúayd óhaú haLJLJeyed óiúh Heynieúúáă cellăK

Based on pages 63-66

1. Define benevolent deception.
2. H2ó d2eă úhe úiYe LJeni2d iY LJacú Heynieúúáă NeăLJ2yăă ó2N lack úheNe2fú ú2 heN d2cú2NăK
3. What is the significance of the description of Henrietta on page 66?

Based on pages 127-136

1. Whaú óaă úhe LJdzNLJ2ăe 2f S2dzúhaYă eĚLJeniYeyúK
2. Reóieó úhe f2Il2óiyg LJ2Nú2y2f úeĚú 2y LJage mhy óWiúhiy h2dzNăš úhe LJauíeyúă f2NeaN Yă gNeó Ned ayd áó2lleyĚ ú2 óHeynieúúáă cayceN cellă YeúáúáăiĚed ú2 heN lèY LJh y2deăé Whaú iyfelleyceă cay'è2dz Yake about the effects of the experiments on the health of

ç These are key controversies from the text, such as racism, scientific research, informed consent, etcetera. You should identify at

Issue	Page #	Key Information from the text

Prologue	
2. Debata Vice	
Ch. 1 13-17	
Ch. 3 27-33	
Ch. 4 34-41	
Ch. 5 42-48	
Ch. 6 49-55	
Ch. 8 63-66	
Ch. 11 83-88	
Ch.12 89-91	
Ch. 17 127-136	

Ch. 24
191-198

"It's an equitable concept that basically says that you can't be the beneficiary of some bad conduct and expect to profit from it," explained Chris Seeger, who is representing the family. Seeger has won huge settlements against defendants like Volkswagen and the NFL.

Case law is unclear when it comes to the validity of unjust enrichment claims, so it's difficult to predict how this case will play out, said Northeastern University law professor Daniel Medwed. But if the plaintiffs win, he said the case could have big implications.

"It could, in theory, create precedent for other claims of unjust enrichment dating back to slavery, or other times in which marginalized populations were exploited for the financial benefit of others," he said.

Thermo Fisher Scientific didn't respond to inquiries from GBH News and the company's attorneys wouldn't comment. [In legal filings](#), the company argues under Maryland law — where the case has been filed — plaintiffs in unjust enrichment cases have to show they've been harmed in some way, which the company argues the Lacks family has not done. Also, they argue the plaintiffs have to show

The Lacks family's other attorney is Ben Crump, who represented the families of Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor and George Floyd, and who was retained this week to represent the family of a victim of Saturday's racially motivated mass shooting in Buffalo. The case of Henrietta Lacks is a civil rights issue, Crump told GBH News.

"It's really indicative of the Black struggle for equality and respect in America," Crump said. "Because it's a racial justice issue when you think about it in the purest form. The children of Henry Ford, they've been able to benefit from his contributions to the world."

But the family of Henrietta Lacks, he said, hasn't been able to benefit from the value of her contribution.

"If this were not a Black woman, it would be a white woman, and the story would be different."